





INTRODUCTION

- Consistent and informative patient-provider communication is necessary for information transfer between patients, family members, nurses, physicians, and other healthcare providers (Stewart 1995, Harrington, Noble, Newman 2014). Registered nurses (RNs) and personal care assistants (PCAs) communicate with their inpatients through routine rounds and answering personal calls from the patients.
- Certain healthcare technologies look to further personalize care by providing a digital interface for patient-provider communication. These technologies are aimed to increase patient autonomy by providing access to information about their care plan and medical history. They also aim to improve overall patient and provider satisfaction by streamlining patient-provider communication.
- These technologies have documented effects on patient experience (Kelly, Hoonakker, Dean 2016; EPIC 2015), but, less studied, they also have prominent effects on provider experience.

Primary Objectives:

1. Learn the effect that technology which personalizes patient healthcare has on provider workflow and satisfaction. 2. Identify areas where the EPIC MyChart Bedside application is hinder-

ing or helping provider performance. 3. Help fill the gap in the current body of literature in gaining provider feedback on implemented patient-provider communication technologies.

BEDSIDE APPLICATION

- One technology aimed to personalize patient healthcare is the EPIC iPad application, MyChart Bedside. Bedside is designed to keep patients engaged and up-to-date during their hospital stay. The goal of this tool is to increase communication between patients and their healthcare providers about specific, non-urgent tasks such as requesting a glass of water, a thermostat adjustment, a snack, etc. It also provides patients with access to personalized diagnostic educational information and medical information including their electronic health records.
- This study aims to observe and gain feedback on the Bedside application from healthcare providers. The study will look to measure the effects that Bedside has on provider perceptions of patient-provider communication. This data could be used to inform future design updates of the Bedside system and other technologies that aim to personalize patient medical care.

| M * 69% | 11:23 AM | iPad ᅙ | | | | | |
|--|--|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | Log out | 0 | | art | MyChart Bedside | |
| Coffee Ice chips | Food and Drink Image: Snack Coffee | Home | EPIC MEDICAL CENTER Day 3 | 2 days from now, on Friday. | Today is Wednesday, November 4 Good morning, Glen. Looks like you'll be able to leave about 1 | | ➢ Home ₩elcome |
| | Happening soon | | Your medications | | You are here for | | - |
| Limited visitors Limited phone calls Visit from spiritual care | | () | Humulin, Novolin | <i>i</i> | Community acquired pneumonia | -& Happening soon Around 9 AM Medications | |
| | Visitors | Taking care of me | (i) | Intropin | | and we're also treating | |
| | Entertainment | L would like | () | Levaquin | otion of (į) | Bleeding disorder due to consumptio coagulants | Around 12 PM Medications |
| Video Newspaper | Music Video | | (i) | levothyroxine | <i>(i)</i> | Nutritional disorder | Around 2 PM Medications Afternoon Hospital Care Appointment |
| Go to the bathroom | | 🦰 Notes | (i) | sodium chloride | () | Respiratory failure | |
| | Pain Go to bathroom | Share a the second s | (i) | Zantac | (<u>)</u> | Stress hyperalycemia | Taking care of me |
| just now | just now just n | | 37.8 Temperature | 20 Respirations | 82 Pulse | 108/63 | 📥 I would like |
| summary | age with a summar | vs the <i>Home</i> p | · | side. The le | s of Bed | vo screen shots (| Τv |
| | banel is or | he navigation | ft image sho nedications | side. The le ations and n | s of Bed omplica | | of |

request a number of services that then appear in the cue bar at the bottom.

Provider Perception of Patient-Initiated Communication for Non-Essential Tasks Anoosha Moturu, Angela Avera, Stephan Kotin, Farzan Sasangohar Rice University, University of Houston – Clear Lake, Houston Methodist, A&M







DISCUSSION

Implementation of Bedside will streamline tasks such that the correct care provider is notified for a specific task and the patient will have answers to questions typically directed towards the care team about their care plan and patient education at their fingertips.

• Current issues in the task flow of patient-provider care were identified and future work will demonstrate where the Bedside application can alleviate these pain points, and how it may introduce new concerns for providers.

Potential benefits to the Bedside application include increased patient education, simplification of provider workflow, increased perception of provider attentiveness, increase in ease of communication for speaking impaired patients, and increased use of relevant and novel technology.

 Potential pitfalls could include a reluctance of technology adoption for older patients, difficulty with the technology implementation and unit change management, the patient perception of less personalized care and unforeseen issues in task flow for patient provider communication through the use of a tablet.

 Increase in provider satisfaction and efficiency of workflow can lead to better patient care. Evaluating technology that can assist in increasing this will help justify and encourage the use of such technology to improve overall patient health outcomes.

FUTURE WORK & LIMITATIONS

. Survey responses and observational data will be collected after the implementation of Bedside in the pilot units. By comparing the survey data from the pre-implementation phase with the postimplementation phase through a chi-squared analysis, we will conclude what effect the implementation of Bedside has on provider perceptions of patient-initiated communication and provider work-

. A limitation to the survey data is the small sample size of providers. Another limitation is that survey data do not capture specific provider identification information and thus pre- and post-

implementation responses will not be paired to the same individual for comparison.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Houston Methodist Hospital for their support with data collection.

REFERENCES

Epic. (2015). Retrieved July 24, 2015, from https://xgm.epic.com/sessions/?

Harrington, Jane, Noble, Lorraine M., Newman, Stanton P., (2002). Improving Patients' Communication with Doctors: A Systemic Review of Intervention Studies. Elsvier Science Ireland. 7-16

Kelly, Michelle M., Hoonakker, Peter L.T., Dean, Shannon M., (2016). Using an Inpatient Portal to Engage Families in Pediatric Hospital Care. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 1-9

Stewart, Moira., (1995). Effective Physician-Patient Communication and Health Outcomes: A Review. Canadian Medical Association, J, 152. 1423-1433